



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/844,752	04/27/2001	Bin Yu	P1316	2099
75	590 08/14/2002			
LaRiviere, Grubman & Payne, LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 3140 Monterey, CA	93942		POMPEY, RO	N EVERETT
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2812	/
			DATE MAILED: 08/14/2002	\mathcal{L}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/844,752	YU, BIN	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Ron E Pompey	2812	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failur - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication () (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1 .
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 J	lune 2002 .		
2a) □		is action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the merits	is
Dienociti	closed in accordance with the practice under a ion of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.	
· _	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-11</u> is/are pending in the ap	nlication		
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	WIT HOTH CONSIDERATION.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-11</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement		
	ion Papers	oloolion roquiroment.		
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) 🔲	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🗌 .	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	oved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.		
12) 🔲 .	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No	
* 8	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·	
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applicati	on).
) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •		
Attachment		, , ,	7	
1) 🔀 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
0.0	ademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 09/844,752

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pan (5,595,919) in further view of Wu (US 6,190,977).

Pan discloses the steps of :

For claims 1-6 and 9-11:

providing a gate oxide and gate;

performing a source/drain extension implant (30, fig. 9);

forming spacer on the gate (22, fig. 3)

removing the spacer (fig. 7); and

performing a halo implant (34, fig. 11) (col. 2, In. 59 - col. 3, In. 54).

3. Pan discloses the claimed invention except for the limitations disclosed below by Wu.

For claims 1-6 and 8-11:

performing epitaxy to form raised source/drain regions (26, fig. 5); and forming a silicide (32 a,b, fig. 7) on the gate and source/drain regions (col. 5, lns. 50 – 56 and col. 6, lns. 14-37).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Wu with Pan, because the raised source and drain suppresses the short channel effect and protects the substrate form further processing steps.

4. Pan and Wu disclose the claimed invention except for the implant depth of either the source/drain extension or the halo. However it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implant the dopants at the claimed ranges of depth, for claims 2, 4, 5, 9 and 10, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ron E Pompey whose telephone number is (703) 305-3016.

Ron Pompey Art Unit: 2812

August 12, 2002

John F. Mistling Supervisory Patent Frominer Technology Cartes 2000